**FACULTY MID REVIEW FORM**

**Year: 2020**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Department: Department of Computer Science and Engineering | Faculty Name: Satyaki Das |
| Evaluator: | Position: Lecturer |
| Position: | Other Admin Position: |
| Date: | Duration of Service at ULAB: 10 Months |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Key Area | Evaluation | Remarks |
| Teaching | 5 | * Taught 3 Theory courses and 3 Lab courses in Spring 2020 and Summer 2020 * Completed all the courses according to the outline in Spring 2020 * Provided the necessary materials and counseling for students in both semester * Received good teacher evaluation grades in Spring 2020 |
| Research/ Publication | 3 | * Currently working on the topic of Working From Home (WFH) during Covid-19. * Actively working on formal methods * Actively working on automated reasoning * Actively working on static analysis |
| Student Advising | 5 | * Conducted student advising whenever possible * During the lockdown, called my advisees and requested them to register for Summer 2020 * Did advising for two students in Summer 2020 before being asked not to do so by the admin |
| Life at ULAB | 4 | * Currently working on “Competitive Programming Training” program at ULAB * Participated in Botnet Mitigation workshop on behalf of ULAB CSE Faculty * Conducted “Training on Online Classes for students” before the start of Summer 2020 * Currently working on finding a solution to monitor students to prevent plagiarism during online classes * Identified missing information in departmental website and gave suggestions on revising it * Participated in all of the online events organized by the department |
| Campus Availability | 5 | * Conducted all the classes on campus punctually before lockdown * Conducting online classes on time during lockdown * Made myself available for consulting during office hours * Helped students with various issues even outside of my office hours |

**Additional Comment:**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**Definition of Parameters:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Parameters** | **Value** | **Description** |
| Outstanding | 5 | Excellent performance against yearly set goals in any given parameter and more than the expectation. |
| Better than the target | 4 | Better performance than the set goals. Performance better than the general expectation of the same rank faculty. |
| As per the target | 3 | Satisfactory performance according to the set goal |
| Close to target | 2 | Unsatisfactory performance as per the set goal, but still tried to improve. |
| Below target | 1 | Lack of efficiency because of insufficient initiative. |

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

(Signature of the Evaluator)

Name of the Evaluator:

Date: